

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,271	04/26/2004	Steven R. Lipawsky	LDP-8439	3270
7590 05/31/2005			EXAMINER	
Loren Donald Pearson, P.A.			DANG, HUNG XUAN	
P.O.Box 402571 Miami Beach, FL 33140-2571			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wilaini Deach, 1			2873	
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/709,271	LIPAWSKY, STEVEN R.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hung X. Dang	2873	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of this period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	•		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachmant(s)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5B/08) 5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/709,271

Art Unit: 2873

Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by **Schumacher** (1,555,388).

Schumacher discloses eyeglasses frame having a front frame and a pair of temples 8 connected to the frame, each temple having a plurality of socket and plurality of semiprecious stone 9 formed on the socket (see figures 1-8 and the related disclosure.)

Claims Rejection Under 35 USC – 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Schumacher** (1,555,388) in view of **Cheng** (6,641,262).

Application/Control Number: 10/709,271

Art Unit: 2873

Schumacher discloses eyeglasses frame having a front frame and a pair of temples 8 connected to the frame, each temple having a plurality of socket and plurality of semiprecious stone 9 formed on the socket (see figures 1-8 and the related disclosure.)

Schumacher does not disclose specific store as that claimed by applicant.

Cheng, however, discloses a plurality diamonds 31 are provided with a plurality of cavities 33.

Because Schumacher and Cheng are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose of improving aesthetic appearance as disclosed by Cheng would have been recognized as an art pertinent art of Schumacher.

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the eyeglasses frame, such as the one disclosed by Schumacher, with a plurality diamonds 31 are provided with a plurality of cavities 33, such as disclosed by Cheng for the purpose of more secure mounting arrangement.

Response To Applicant's Argument

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/17/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a semiprecious stone including diamond, rubies, emeralds and sapphires) are not

Art Unit: 2873

recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dang at telephone number (571) 272-2326.

5/05

HUNG DANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TC 2800